

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

AMENDED NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 498/10

Canadian Valuation Group 1200 10665 Jasper Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 3S9 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on November 1, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

Roll Number 1075290	Municipal Address 9405 50 Street NW	Legal Description Plan: 7622073 Block: 2 Lot: 1/2 12/13
Assessed Value	Assessment Type	Assessment Notice for:
\$38,616,500	Annual – New	2010

Before: Board Officer:

Hatem Naboulsi, Presiding Officer James Wall, Board Member Jasbeer Singh, Board Member Segun Kaffo

Persons Appearing: Complainant

Peter Smith

Persons Appearing: Respondent Renee Gosselink, Assessor Cameron Ashmore, Law Branch

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Upon commencement of the hearing, the parties indicated that an agreement had been reached to reduce the assessment of the subject. The Respondent indicated that the subject's classification is largely based on rental values, and based on the present market a rental rate of \$21 per sq. ft. is unattainable. Therefore the Respondent recommended a change in classification of the subject

from "A" to "B". As a result of this change, the Respondent put forward a recommendation to reduce the assessment to \$26,256,000 which the Complainant accepted.

BACKGROUND

This property is a multi-tenant office building located in southeast Edmonton.

LEGISLATION

The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant is in agreement with the position highlighted under preliminary matters above.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent is in agreement with the position highlighted under preliminary matters above.

DECISION

The decision of the Board is to reduce the current assessment to \$26,256,000.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Based on the evidence, submission and agreement of both parties, the Board reduces the assessment of the subject property.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

There was no dissenting opinion.
Dated this 1st day of November, 2010, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.
Presiding Officer
This Decision was because I as the Court of Occasion Decision of I as

This Decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board 892516 ALBERTA LTD O/A 50 ST. ATRIA